

Abstract Impact/ Interest and Quality	Advertisement Abstract	Low Impact/Interest, Poor Abstract Quality, Incomplete Submission	Medium to Low Impact/Interest, Moderate Abstract Quality	Medium Impact/Interest, High Abstract Quality	Very High Impact/Interest, Excellent Abstract Quality
Meaning	Decline	Decline	Potentially Accept (Poster)	Accept (Poster)	Accept (Platform)
Scoring	1	2	3	4	5
Topic	Abstract is not hypothesis driven. Abstract reads as an advertisement for a new technology, software, or company. Content is promotional in nature with insufficient data.	Hypothesis is somewhat interesting, and methods are questionable (ethics, flawed, not understandable). Results are immature, less significant or incremental progress in the field, missing, and/or opinionated with no scientific basis. Not related to human genetics or genomics. Identical abstract was already declined or not selected for a talk and was resubmitted.	Hypothesis is interesting, and methods are sound. Results are published OR Results at time of abstract submission are unpublished and somewhat mature, represent an advance in the field, but are of narrower interest.	Hypothesis is compelling, and methods are sound. Results at time of abstract submission are unpublished and mature, represent a significant advance in the field, and are of broad interest.	Hypothesis is compelling, and methods are sound. Results at time of abstract submission are unpublished and extremely mature, represent a top advance in the field, and are of broad interest.
Organization and content	Abstract is clearly advertising services, rather than experimental results.	Abstract is difficult to understand and missing hypothesis, methods, and/or results.	Abstract is coherent and organized with identifiable hypothesis, methods, and results.	Abstract is well-written and organized with clearly outlined hypothesis, methods, and results.	Abstract is exceptionally well-written and organized with clearly outlined hypothesis, methods, and results.
Overall	Advertisement Abstract	Poor Abstract	Abstract with Few Weaknesses or Already Published	Outstanding Abstract	Exceptional Abstract (Slam Dunk)

Previously Published Definition

1. Accepted for peer-reviewed publication as of the submission deadline, August 26, or
2. Accepted for oral presentation at other conferences as of the submission deadline, August 26.

Material posted on a preprint server is NOT considered previously published.

How to Indicate a Conflict of Interest

Select “Abstain from Grading” to indicate Conflict of Interest between reviewer and abstract and recuse yourself from scoring the abstract. Explain the nature of the conflict in the comment box of the Grading step. Be sure to review the [Conflict of Interest Guidance](#).

All abstracts marked with Conflict of Interest will be scored by another designee or the Program Committee Chair.