

<b>Abstract Impact/Interest and Quality</b>	<b>Very High Impact/Interest, Excellent Abstract Quality</b>	<b>High Impact/Interest, Strong Abstract Quality</b>	<b>Medium Impact/Interest, High Abstract Quality</b>	<b>Medium to Low Impact/Interest, Moderate Abstract Quality</b>	<b>Low Impact/Interest, Poor Abstract Quality, Incomplete Submission</b>	<b>Advertisement Abstract</b>
<b>Meaning</b>	<b>Plenary</b>	<b>Platform</b>	<b>Reviewers' Choice Poster/Lightning Talk (best of the posters)</b>	<b>Poster</b>	<b>Decline</b>	<b>Decline</b>
<b>Scoring</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2-3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5-6</b>	<b>7-8</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>Expected %</b>	<b>0.5%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>10%</b>	<b>80%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>0.5%</b>
<b>Topic</b>	Hypothesis is compelling, and methods are sound.  Results at time of abstract submission are unpublished and extremely mature, represent a top advance in the field, and are of broad interest.	Hypothesis is compelling, and methods are sound.  Results at time of abstract submission are unpublished and mature, represent a significant advance in the field, and are of broad interest.	Hypothesis is interesting, and methods are sound.  Results at time of abstract submission are unpublished and somewhat mature, represent an advance in the field, but are of narrower interest.	Hypothesis is somewhat interesting, and methods are mostly sound.  Results are published or if unpublished they are immature, less significant or incremental progress in the field, and are of narrower interest.	Methods are questionable (ethics, flawed, not understandable).  Results are published or if unpublished they are immature, missing, and/or opinionated with no scientific basis. Not related to human genetics or genomics.	Abstract reads as an advertisement for a new technology, software, or company. Content is promotional in nature with insufficient data.
<b>Organization and content</b>	Abstract is exceptionally well-written and organized with clearly outlined hypothesis, methods, and results.	Abstract is well-written and organized with clearly outlined hypothesis, methods, and results.	Abstract is coherent and organized with identifiable hypothesis, methods, and results.	Abstract is coherent and somewhat organized with identifiable hypothesis, methods, and results.	Abstract is difficult to understand and missing hypothesis, methods, and/or results.	Abstract is clearly advertising services, rather than experimental results.
<b>Overall</b>	<b>Exceptional Abstract (Slam Dunk)</b>	<b>Outstanding Abstract</b>	<b>Abstract with Few Weaknesses</b>	<b>Abstract with Some Weaknesses or Already Published Data</b>	<b>Poor Abstract</b>	<b>Advertisement Abstract</b>

Previously Published Definition

1. Accepted for peer-reviewed publication as of the submission deadline, June 9, or
2. Accepted for oral presentation at other conferences as of the submission deadline, June 9.

***Material posted on a preprint server is NOT considered previously published.***

How to Indicate a Conflict of Interest

Select "Abstain from Grading" to indicate Conflict of Interest between reviewer and abstract and recuse yourself from scoring the abstract. Explain the nature of the conflict in the comment box of the Grading step. Be sure to review the [Conflict of Interest Policy](#).

***All abstracts marked with Conflict of Interest will be scored by another designee or the Program Committee Chair.***