

# American Society of Human Genetics



Facing Our History - Building an  
Equitable Future Initiative

## Appendix 3 – References

1. Galton F. *Inquiries into Human Faculty and Its Development by Francis Galton*. MacMillan; 1883. Accessed June 21, 2022. <https://galton.org/books/human-faculty/>
2. Reilly PR. Eugenics and the Misuse of Genetic Information to Restrict Reproductive Freedom: Board of Directors of the American Society of Human Genetics. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1999;64(2):335-338. doi:10.1086/302264
3. The Meaning of Eugenics: Historical and Present-Day Discussions of Eugenics and Scientific Racism. National Human Genome Research Institute. Accessed March 11, 2022. <https://www.genome.gov/event-calendar/the-meaning-of-eugenics-historical-and-present-day-discussions-of-eugenics-and-scientific-racism>
4. Eugenics and Scientific Racism Fact Sheet. National Human Genome Research Institute. Accessed March 11, 2022. <https://www.genome.gov/about-genomics/fact-sheets/Eugenics-and-Scientific-Racism>
5. Mohsen H. Race and Genetics: Somber History, Troubled Present. *Yale J Biol Med*. 2020;93(1):215-219.
6. Garver KL, Garver B. Eugenics: past, present, and the future. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1991;49(5):1109-1118.
7. Washington HA. *Medical Apartheid: The Dark History of Medical Experimentation on Black Americans from Colonial Times to the Present*. Illustrated edition. Anchor; 2008.
8. Eugenics: Its Origin and Development (1883 - Present). National Human Genome Research Institute. Accessed March 11, 2022. <https://www.genome.gov/about-genomics/educational-resources/timelines/eugenics>
9. Allen GE. The Eugenics Record Office at Cold Spring Harbor, 1910-1940: An Essay in Institutional History. *Osiris*. 1986;2:225-264.
10. Krisch JA. When Racism Was a Science. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2014/10/14/science/haunted-files-the-eugenics-record-office-recreates-a-dark-time-in-a-laboratorys-past.html>. Published October 13, 2014. Accessed March 21, 2022.
11. Hogarth R. The Measure of Black (Un)Fitness: Legacies of Slavery in the Early Eugenics Movement. Presented at: National Library of Medicine History Talks; April 28, 2022. Accessed April 29, 2022. <https://videocast.nih.gov/watch=44365>
12. Holland M. Controlling Heredity · Special Collections and Archives. University of Missouri. Published March 2011. Accessed March 8, 2022. <https://library.missouri.edu/specialcollections/exhibits/show/controlling-heredity/about>
13. Eugenics: Compulsory Sterilization in 50 American States. Accessed March 11, 2022. <http://www.uvm.edu/~lkaelber/eugenics/>

14. Stern AM. Forced sterilization policies in the US targeted minorities and those with disabilities – and lasted into the 21st century. *The Conversation*. Published August 26, 2020. Accessed March 24, 2022. <http://theconversation.com/forced-sterilization-policies-in-the-us-targeted-minorities-and-those-with-disabilities-and-lasting-into-the-21st-century-143144>
15. Stern AM. STERILIZED in the Name of Public Health. *Am J Public Health*. 2005;95(7):1128-1138. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2004.041608
16. Novak NL, Lira N, O'Connor KE, Harlow SD, Kardia SLR, Stern AM. Disproportionate Sterilization of Latinos Under California's Eugenic Sterilization Program, 1920–1945. *Am J Public Health*. 2018;108(5):611-613. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2018.304369
17. Government admits forced sterilization of Indian Women - Timeline - Native Voices. Accessed September 23, 2022. <https://www.nlm.nih.gov/nativevoices/timeline/543.html>
18. Blakemore E. The Little-Known History of the Forced Sterilization of Native American Women. *JSTOR Daily*. Published August 25, 2016. Accessed September 23, 2022. <https://daily.jstor.org/the-little-known-history-of-the-forced-sterilization-of-native-american-women/>
19. Gur-Arie R. American Eugenics Society (1926-1972). In: *Embryo Project Encyclopedia*. ; 2014. <http://embryo.asu.edu/handle/10776/8241>
20. Paul D. From Eugenics to Medical Genetics. *J Policy Hist*. 1997;9(1):96-116. doi:10.1017/S0898030600005844
21. Whitman JQ. *Hitler's American Model: The United States and the Making of Nazi Race Law*. Princeton University Press; 2017.
22. Comfort N. "Polyhybrid Heterogeneous Bastards": Promoting Medical Genetics in America in the 1930s and 1940s. *J Hist Med Allied Sci*. 2006;61(4):415-455. doi:10.1093/jhmas/jrl001
23. Fowler H. 'Act of genocide.' Eugenics program tried to 'breed out' Black people in NC, report says. *Raleigh News & Observer*. Published August 18, 2018. Accessed March 11, 2022. <https://www.newsobserver.com/news/state/north-carolina/article244411987.html>
24. A new name society for the study of social biology (Formerly the American eugenics society). *Soc Biol*. 1973;20(1):1-1. doi:10.1080/19485565.1973.9988017
25. Osborne RH, Osborne BT. The history of the journal social biology: 1954 (Vol. 1) through 1999 (Vol. 46). *Soc Biol*. 1999;46(3-4):164-178. doi:10.1080/19485565.1999.9988996
26. Neel JV. Our twenty-fifth. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1974;26(2):136-144.
27. Muller HJ. Progress and prospects in human genetics. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1949;1(1):1-18.
28. American Eugenics Society Records. American Philosophical Society Library. Accessed August 16, 2022. <https://search.amphilsoc.org/collections/view?docId=ead/Mss.575.06.Am3-ead.xml>

29. Resta R. A Selective Amnesia – Sterilizing The History Of Genetics. The DNA Exchange. Published June 12, 2020. Accessed March 21, 2022. <https://thednaexchange.com/tag/william-allan/>
30. Benbassat CA. Kallmann Syndrome: Eugenics and the Man behind the Eponym. *Rambam Maimonides Med J*. 2016;7(2):e0015. doi:10.5041/RMMJ.10242
31. Osborn F. Population Problems and the American Eugenics Society. *Science*. 1954;119(3098). doi:10.1126/science.119.3098.3A
32. Preview of the 120th Meeting, AAAS, Boston December 26-31, 1953. *Science*. 1953;118(3075). doi:10.1126/science.118.3075.661
33. Dice LR. Heredity clinics: Their value for public service and for research. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1952;4(1):1-13.
34. Cover Story: Claude Nash Herndon, Jr. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2012;91(1). Accessed March 4, 2022. [https://www.cell.com/ajhg/issue?pii=S0002-9297\(12\)X0008-9](https://www.cell.com/ajhg/issue?pii=S0002-9297(12)X0008-9)
35. Schambra WA. Uncovering a Foundation’s Central Role in a N.C. Medical School’s Dark Chapter - by William A. Schambra. Accessed March 11, 2022. <http://www.hudson.org/research/8334-uncovering-a-foundation-s-central-role-in-a-n-c-medical-school-s-dark-chapter>
36. North Carolina Eugenics. Accessed March 11, 2022. <http://www.uvm.edu/~lkaelber/eugenics/NC/NCold.html>
37. Nelson DL. 2018 Presidential Address: Who Are We?1. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2019;104(3):363-372. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2019.01.005
38. Hazard Jr. AQ. A Racialized Deconstruction? Ashley Montagu and the 1950 UNESCO Statement on Race. *Transform Anthropol*. 2011;19(2):174-186. doi:10.1111/j.1548-7466.2011.01129.x
39. Gannett L. Racism and Human Genome Diversity Research: The Ethical Limits of “Population Thinking.” *Philos Sci*. 2001;68(3):S479-S492.
40. Bentley Glass Papers. American Philosophical Society Library. Published 1995 1930. Accessed June 9, 2022. <https://search.amphilsoc.org/collections/view?docId=ead/Mss.Ms.Coll.105-ead.xml;query=;brand=default>
41. The Supreme Court Ruling That Led To 70,000 Forced Sterilizations. NPR. Published March 7, 2016. Accessed November 1, 2022. <https://www.npr.org/sections/health-shots/2016/03/07/469478098/the-supreme-court-ruling-that-led-to-70-000-forced-sterilizations>
42. Schoen J. From the footnotes to the headlines: Sterilization apologies and their lessons. *Sex Res Soc Policy*. 2006;3(3):7-22. doi:10.1525/srsp.2006.3.3.7
43. Legal Highlight: The Civil Rights Act of 1964 | U.S. Department of Labor. Accessed September 8, 2022. <https://www.dol.gov/agencies/oasam/civil-rights-center/statutes/civil-rights-act-of-1964>

44. Mitchell MX. Screening Out Controversy: Human Genetics, Emerging Techniques of Diagnosis, and the Origins of the Social Issues Committee of the American Society of Human Genetics, 1964–1973. *J Hist Biol.* 2017;50(2):425-456. doi:10.1007/s10739-016-9437-8
45. William Shockley. Southern Poverty Law Center. Accessed September 12, 2022. <https://www.splcenter.org/fighting-hate/extremist-files/individual/william-shockley>
46. Keats BJB, Hassold TJ. Recollections from 60 ASHG Meetings. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2010;87(5):580-592. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.10.011
47. Arthur Jensen. Southern Poverty Law Center. Accessed September 8, 2022. <https://www.splcenter.org/fighting-hate/extremist-files/individual/arthur-jensen>
48. Jacobs PA. The William Allan Memorial Award address: human population cytogenetics: the first twenty-five years. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1982;34(5):689-698.
49. Jacobs PA, Brunton M, Melville MM, Brittain RP, McClellmont WF. Aggressive Behaviour, Mental Sub-normality and the XYY Male. *Nature.* 1965;208(5017):1351-1352. doi:10.1038/2081351a0
50. XYY Syndrome. NORD (National Organization for Rare Disorders). Accessed October 5, 2022. <https://rarediseases.org/rare-diseases/xyy-syndrome/>
51. *A Deep Conversation with Jon Beckwith: A History of Scientific and Social Activism.*; 2020. Accessed April 22, 2022. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=H8SHWRDG0Sw>
52. Bauer D. Maryland test for criminal potential. In: *Experimentation with Human Beings: The Authority of the Investigator, Subject, Professions, and State in the Human Experimentation Process.* Russell Sage Foundation; 1972:342-343.
53. Bauer D. XYY tests stop. In: *Experimentation with Human Beings: The Authority of the Investigator, Subject, Professions, and State in the Human Experimentation Process.* Russell Sage Foundation; 1972:343-344.
54. Bauer D. Criminal-prone tests resumed. In: *Experimentation with Human Beings: The Authority of the Investigator, Subject, Professions, and State in the Human Experimentation Process.* Russell Sage Foundation; 1972:344-345.
55. What is Sickle Cell Disease? Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Published August 18, 2022. Accessed September 12, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/sicklecell/facts.html>
56. Barnett R. Sickle cell anaemia. *The Lancet.* 2017;389(10073):998. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30648-7
57. Ingram VM. Abnormal human haemoglobins: I. The comparison of normal human and sickle-cell haemoglobins by "fingerprinting." *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 1958;28:539-545. doi:10.1016/0006-3002(58)90516-X
58. Data & Statistics on Sickle Cell Disease. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Published May 2, 2022. Accessed September 12, 2022. <https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/sicklecell/data.html>

59. Gary LE. The sickle cell controversy. *Soc Work*. 1974;19(3):263-272. doi:10.1093/sw/19.3.263
60. Forman O, Shriver S. Medical Mistrust and the Historic Role of Sickle Cell Testing in the African American Community. Ampersand. Published February 28, 2022. Accessed June 1, 2022. <https://blog.primr.org/medical-mistrust-and-the-historic-role-of-sickle-cell-testing-in-the-african-american-community/>
61. Tapper M. An “Anthropology” of the “American Negro”: Anthropology, Genetics, and the New Racial Science, 1940-1952. *Soc Hist Med*. 1997;10(2):263-289. doi:10.1093/shm/10.2.263
62. Markel H. *Scientific Advances and Social Risks: Historical Perspectives of Genetic Screening Programs for Sickle Cell Disease, Tay-Sachs Disease, Neural Tube Defects and Down Syndrome, 1970-1997*. National Human Genome Research Institute; 1997. Accessed June 1, 2022. <https://biotech.law.lsu.edu/research/fed/tfgr/index.htm>
63. Gormley M. It’s in the Blood! A Documentary History of Linus Pauling, Hemoglobin and Sickle Cell Anemia - Narrative. Accessed April 29, 2022. <http://scarc.library.oregonstate.edu/coll/pauling/blood/narrative/page1.html>
64. James Bowman, expert on pathology and blood diseases, 1923-2011. UChicago News. Published 2011. Accessed June 2, 2022. <https://news.uchicago.edu/story/james-bowman-expert-pathology-and-blood-diseases-1923-2011>
65. Genetic Discrimination. National Human Genome Research Institute. Accessed November 9, 2022. <https://www.genome.gov/about-genomics/policy-issues/Genetic-Discrimination>
66. Hamerton JL. Human population cytogenetics: dilemmas and problems. *Am J Hum Genet*. 1976;28(2):107-122.
67. Gilliam D. Sickle Cell. *Washington Post*. <https://www.washingtonpost.com/archive/local/1982/09/25/sickle-cell/b38e4997-c51f-4db8-a6b6-5dd5e682aded/>. Published September 25, 1982. Accessed October 6, 2022.
68. Culliton BJ. Sickle Cell Anemia: National Program Raises Problems as Well as Hopes. *Science*. 1972;178(4058):283-286.
69. Dr. Robert Murray ’53, first Black member of Union’s Board, has died. Union College. Published May 24, 2022. Accessed October 6, 2022. <https://www.union.edu/news/stories/202205/dr-robert-murray-53-first-black-member-unions-board-has-died>
70. James E. Bowman – UCLA History of Human Genetics Project. Accessed October 12, 2022. <https://ohhgp.semel.ucla.edu/people/james-e-bowman/>
71. Phillips S. The life and times of a trailblazing physician-scientist. UChicago Medicine. Accessed October 13, 2022. <https://www.uchicagomedicine.org/forefront/patient-care-articles/life-and-times-of-james-bowman>

72. Declaration of Helsinki - Recommendations guiding doctors in clinical research. Published online June 1964. Accessed May 31, 2022. <https://www.wma.net/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/DoH-Jun1964.pdf>
73. Office of the Secretary, Ethical Principles and Guidelines for the Protection of Human Subjects of Research, National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research. *The Belmont Report: Ethical Principles and Guidelines for the Protection of Human Subjects of Research*. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare; 1979. Accessed May 31, 2022. <https://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/regulations-and-policy/belmont-report/index.html>
74. Federal Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects ('Common Rule'). U.S. Department of Health & Human Services Office for Human Research Protections. Published June 23, 2009. Accessed May 31, 2022. <https://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/regulations-and-policy/regulations/common-rule/index.html>
75. Office for Human Research Protections History. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services Office for Human Research Protections. Published June 16, 2009. Accessed June 1, 2022. <https://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/about-ohrp/history/index.html>
76. Greely HT. Human genome diversity: What about the other human genome project? *Nat Rev Genet*. 2001;2(3):222-227. doi:10.1038/35056071
77. Scholars grapple with ethical issues of Genome Diversity Project. Stanford News. Published November 10, 1995. Accessed April 15, 2022. <https://news.stanford.edu/pr/95/951110genome.html>
78. Cavalli-Sforza LL. The Human Genome Diversity Project: past, present and future. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2005;6(4):333-340. doi:10.1038/nrg1596
79. Dukepoo FC. The trouble with the Human Genome Diversity Project. *Mol Med Today*. 1998;4(6):242-243. doi:10.1016/S1357-4310(98)01282-9
80. Declaration of Indigenous Peoples of the Western Hemisphere Regarding the Human Genome Diversity Project. Alaska Native Knowledge Network. Published February 19, 1995. Accessed April 18, 2022. <https://www.uaf.edu/ankn/indigenous-knowledge-syst/declaration-of-indigenous/>
81. Garrison NA. Genomic Justice for Native Americans: Impact of the Havasupai Case on Genetic Research. *Sci Technol Hum Values*. 2013;38(2):201-223. doi:10.1177/0162243912470009
82. Blakemore E. Why the Navajo Nation Banned Genetic Research. History. Published August 31, 2018. Accessed March 31, 2022. <https://www.history.com/news/why-the-navajo-nation-banned-genetic-research>
83. Guglielmi G. Facing up to injustice in genome science. *Nature*. 2019;568(7752):290-293. doi:10.1038/d41586-019-01166-x
84. Reardon S. Navajo Nation reconsiders ban on genetic research. *Nature*. 2017;550(7675):165-166. doi:10.1038/nature.2017.22780

85. Robison-Greene R. Genetic Research in the Navajo Nation. The Prindle Post. Published October 27, 2017. Accessed March 31, 2022. <https://www.prindlepost.org/2017/10/genetic-research-navajo-nation/>
86. Rowley PT. 1987 American Society of Human Genetics Social Issues Committee Report. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1988;43(1):103-104.
87. Rowley PT. 1988 American society of human genetics social issues committee report. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1989;45(1):155-156.
88. Genome research risks abuse, panel warns. *Nature.* 1995;378(6557):529-529. doi:10.1038/378529a0
89. Allen A, Anderson B, Andrews L, et al. The Bell Curve: statement by the NIH-DOE Joint Working Group on the Ethical, Legal, and Social Implications of Human Genome Research. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1996;59(2):487-488.
90. Responding to Misguided Beliefs. American Society of Human Genetics. Published November 1, 2007. Accessed September 12, 2022. <https://www.ashg.org/advocacy/statement-archive/2007-intellectual-ability/>
91. McInnes RR. 2010 Presidential Address: Culture: The Silent Language Geneticists Must Learn—Genetic Research with Indigenous Populations. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2011;88(3):254-261. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2011.02.014
92. Burke W. ASHG Presidential Address: Who Is under the Umbrella—and Why Are We Here? *Am J Hum Genet.* 2008;82(5):1029-1031. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2008.04.010
93. Cover Story: Roderick R. McInnes. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2017;100(2). Accessed April 13, 2022. [https://www.cell.com/ajhg/issue?pii=S0002-9297\(16\)X0003-1](https://www.cell.com/ajhg/issue?pii=S0002-9297(16)X0003-1)
94. McCague A. GINA's 10th Anniversary and the Future of Genetic Privacy. American Society of Human Genetics. Published August 2018. Accessed September 23, 2022. <https://www.ashg.org/publications-news/trainee-newsletter/201808-gina-anniversary/>
95. The Ad Hoc Committee on Genetic Testing/insurance Issues. Background Statement: Genetic Testing and Insurance. *Am J Hum Genet.* 1995;56:327-331.
96. Rowley JD. 1993 American Society of Human Genetics Presidential Address: Can We Meet the Challenge? *Am J Hum Genet.* 1994;54:403-413.
97. Timeline of the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act (GINA). Genome.gov. Accessed June 1, 2022. <https://www.genome.gov/about-genomics/policy-issues/timeline-genetic-information-nondiscrimination-act-GINA>
98. Supporting Genetic Nondiscrimination Since the 1990s. American Society of Human Genetics. Published December 1, 2001. Accessed June 1, 2022. <https://www.ashg.org/advocacy/statement-archive/2001-s-318/>

99. Advising on the Implementation of GINA. American Society of Human Genetics. Published November 1, 2008. Accessed June 1, 2022. <https://www.ashg.org/advocacy/statement-archive/2008-gina-implementation/>
100. Scholes D. Sign on to ASHG Letter Opposing H.R.1313 and Protect GINA. American Society of Human Genetics. Published April 19, 2017. Accessed September 23, 2022. <https://www.ashg.org/publications-news/ashg-news/sign-on-ashg-letter-opposing-hr1313/>
101. Dukepoo FC. It's More than the Human Genome Diversity Project. *Polit Life Sci.* 1999;18(2):293-297.
102. Dukepoo FC. Commentary on "scientific limitations and ethical ramifications of a non-representative Human Genome Project: African American responses" (F. Jackson). *Sci Eng Ethics.* 1998;4(2):171-180. doi:10.1007/s11948-998-0047-5
103. Ancestors of Science, Frank C. Dukepoo. *Science.* Accessed November 4, 2022. <https://www.science.org/content/article/ancestors-science-frank-c-dukepoo>
104. Biographies: Frank Dukepoo. Partnership with Native Americans. Accessed November 4, 2022. [http://www.nrcprograms.org/site/PageServer?pagename=pwna&page=pwna\\_biography\\_frankdukepoo](http://www.nrcprograms.org/site/PageServer?pagename=pwna&page=pwna_biography_frankdukepoo)
105. June 2000 White House Event. National Human Genome Research Institute. Published June 26, 2000. Accessed September 29, 2022. <https://www.genome.gov/10001356/june-2000-white-house-event>
106. Yudell M, Roberts D, DeSalle R, Tishkoff S. Taking race out of human genetics. *Science.* 2016;351(6273):564-565. doi:10.1126/science.aac4951
107. Byeon YJJ, Islamaj R, Yeganova L, et al. Evolving use of ancestry, ethnicity, and race in genetics research—A survey spanning seven decades. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2021;108(12):2215-2223. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2021.10.008
108. Royal CDM, Dunston GM. Changing the paradigm from "race" to human genome variation. *Nat Genet.* 2004;36(11 Suppl):S5-7. doi:10.1038/ng1454
109. Collins FS. What we do and don't know about "race", "ethnicity", genetics and health at the dawn of the genome era. *Nat Genet.* 2004;36(11 Suppl):S13-15. doi:10.1038/ng1436
110. Foster MW, Sharp RR. Race, ethnicity, and genomics: social classifications as proxies of biological heterogeneity. *Genome Res.* 2002;12(6):844-850. doi:10.1101/gr.99202
111. Diversity in Genomic Research. National Human Genome Research Institute. Accessed September 30, 2022. <https://www.genome.gov/about-genomics/fact-sheets/Diversity-in-Genomic-Research>
112. Rood JE, Regev A. The legacy of the Human Genome Project. *Science.* 2021;373(6562):1442-1443. doi:10.1126/science.abl5403

113. Advancing Diverse Participation in Research with Special Consideration for Vulnerable Populations. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2020;107(3):379-380. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2020.08.011
114. Atutornu J, Milne R, Costa A, Patch C, Middleton A. Towards equitable and trustworthy genomics research. *eBioMedicine.* 2022;76. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2022.103879
115. Roach R. Human Genome Center Launched at Howard University. Diverse: Issues In Higher Education. Published May 23, 2001. Accessed April 11, 2022. <https://www.diverseeducation.com/institutions/msis/article/15077704/human-genome-center-launched-at-howard-university>
116. Akpan N. How white supremacists respond when their DNA says they're not 'white.' PBS NewsHour. Published August 20, 2017. Accessed October 5, 2022. <https://www.pbs.org/newshour/science/white-supremacists-respond-genetics-say-theyre-not-white>
117. American Society of Human Genetics Statement Regarding Concepts of "Good Genes" and Human Genetics. American Society of Human Genetics. Published September 24, 2020. Accessed October 3, 2022. <https://www.ashg.org/publications-news/ashg-news/statement-regarding-good-genes-human-genetics/>
118. ASHG Denounces Attempts to Link Genetics and Racial Supremacy. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2018;103(5):636. doi:10.1016/j.ajhg.2018.10.011
119. ASHG Diversity and Inclusion Policy. American Society of Human Genetics. Accessed October 4, 2022. <https://www.ashg.org/about/diversity-inclusion-policy/>
120. New Policy Statement Highlights Diversity and Inclusion Goals in Genetics and Genomics Research. American Society of Human Genetics. Published January 23, 2020. Accessed October 4, 2022. <https://www.ashg.org/publications-news/press-releases/diversity-inclusion-statement/>
121. Diversity and Inclusion Task Force Action Plan. American Society of Human Genetics. Accessed October 4, 2022. <https://www.ashg.org/about/committees/diversity-inclusion-task-force/ditc-action-plan/>
122. Crawford D. Creating Greater Momentum to Advance Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion. American Society of Human Genetics. Published June 16, 2021. Accessed October 4, 2022. <https://www.ashg.org/publications-news/newsletter/202106-diversity-equity-inclusion/>
123. ASHG Launches its Human Genetics and Genomics Workforce Diversity Initiative. American Society of Human Genetics. Published January 7, 2021. Accessed October 4, 2022. <https://www.ashg.org/publications-news/press-releases/ashg-launches-its-human-genetics-and-genomics-workforce-diversity-initiative/>
124. Human Genetics Scholars Initiative. American Society of Human Genetics. Published June 1, 2019. Accessed October 4, 2022. <https://www.ashg.org/membership/awards/hgsi/>

125. ASHG Launches Human Genetics Scholars Initiative. American Society of Human Genetics. Published April 10, 2019. Accessed October 4, 2022. <https://www.ashg.org/publications-news/press-releases/201904-hgsi/>
126. Stout J, Dunston GM. Scientist Spotlight: Georgia M. Dunston. *BioLogos*. Published February 22, 2019. Accessed September 28, 2022. <https://biologos.org/articles/scientist-spotlight-georgia-m-dunston/>
127. Dunston GM. A passion for the science of the human genome. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2012;23(21):4154-4156. doi:10.1091/mbc.E12-05-0342
128. Dunston G. NHGRI Oral Histories: Georgia Dunston Part 2. Published online March 10, 2020. Accessed November 4, 2022. <https://www.genome.gov/player/jiKvWPcXa9M/PL1ay9ko4A8sk0o9O-YhseFHzbU2I2HQQp>